

**Governance Review Council (GRC)**

**November 7<sup>th</sup>, 2018**

**Meeting Summary**

**Present:** Eileen Acker, Yolanda Bellisimo, Angela Olmanson, Jon Gudmundsson, Gabby Hojilla, David Snyder

**Absent:** Shawn Purcell

**Agenda**

- Approved

**Approve Minutes**

- Minutes approved

**GRC**

- Yolanda did ask about IDEA ad-hoc group and was told that IDEA developed GRIT (Guidance, Resources, Integration, and Transformation) Committee as a new PGS committee
- Committee reviewed GRIT and we have a few questions we would like answered before approving this committee: A. Yolanda will send a memo to Meg P. and Jon E. to forward to PRAC from the GRC asking for clarification on the difference between IDEA and GRIT as far as what each group does and also, how they will be interacting. B. Regarding the composition of representing members; where did this list come from, as it seems to be a narrow group, not broadly representing all students, staff, and faculty? C. How does the last specific responsibility listed under the GRIT Charge (“Support faculty and staff professional development and innovative instructional strategies aligned with the Integration Plan”) differ from what the Professional Development Committee already does under their charge?
- Committee reviewed SWAC (Strong Workforce Action Committee) Yolanda was told that College Council wants SWAG under PGS so that PRAC can keep control over the funds and be able to look at the greater body of applications
- GRC had one change to make on the wording, which Yolanda will put forward regarding SWAC Responsibility number six under their charge: “In coordination with the workforce Department, review that stated project goals are being met. GRC updated the sentence so it reads better. Otherwise we approve SWAC charge listing
- The response that Gabby received from her discussion with the students in the student government meeting were as follows: 1. When asked if they knew what GRC was, the answer was No. 2. For incentives and student recognition for serving on committees some students thought a stipend would be appropriate. 3. The ideas put forth from Gabby about a notation on one’s transcript and a letter of achievement were well received, but the letter is most realistic at this point

- David Snyder spoke with Enrollment Services and was told that it is not very useful to have notations on transcripts; however, Gabby did let us know that AGS does have a stamp that appears on the student's transcript
- GRC could do a template certificate/letter to put in a student's transfer file once the student has served on a PGS committee for a decided number of semesters

**Moving Forward**

- Yolanda still working on policy for PGS to follow when discontinuing committees or making important changes in any committee
- Yolanda will send the GRC members the memo she drafts up with our suggestions about the charge for GRIT and SWAC and we can view it for any corrections or additions before she sends it on to Meg P. and Jon E.
- Gabby will investigate the stamp that is used for AGS students on their transcripts and get back to the GRC committee on how this is handled
- Eileen will speak with Olga B. to find out if we can look at the template she created for certificates in the WLC. We may be able to send this template over to Repro should we move forward with certificates of recognition for students on PGS committees