

PGS Member Survey, Spring 2010

Completed May, 2010

1. I am		
an Administrator	5	25%
a Faculty Member	6	30%
a Classified Staff Member	7	35%
a Student	2	10%
Total	20	100%

2. I am:		
Full time	18	90%
Part time	2	10%
Total	20	100%

3. Check each committee you served on this Academic year:		
College Council	8	40%
Educational Planning Committee	2	10%
Facilities Planning Committee	3	15%
Governance Review Council	4	20%
Instructional Equipment Committee	1	5%
Planning and Resource Allocation Committee (PRAC)	4	20%
Professional Development Committee	1	5%
Student Access and Success Committee	1	5%
Technology Planning Committee	1	5%

4. Check the committee you are evaluating today.		
College Council	6	30%
Educational Planning Committee	1	5%
Facilities Planning Committee	3	15%
Governance Review Council	4	20%
Instructional Equipment Committee	0	0%
Planning and Resource Allocation Committee (PRAC)	4	20%
Professional Development Committee	1	5%
Student Access and Success Committee	1	5%
Technology Planning Committee	0	0%
Total	20	100%

5. Consider your experience on the Participatory Governance Committee selected above. For each statement below please mark: Strongly Agree, Agree, Neutral, Disagree or Strongly Disagree. Task: Agendas, minutes and ancillary materials were provided electronically prior to the committee meetings.

Strongly Agree	15	75%
Agree	3	15%
Neutral	2	10%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	20	100%

6. In general, the objectives of each committee meeting were clear and understood.

Strongly Agree	12	60%
Agree	6	30%
Neutral	1	5%
Disagree	1	5%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	20	100%

7. The discussions usually followed the agenda.

Strongly Agree	14	70%
Agree	6	30%
Neutral	0	0%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	20	100%

8. Meeting agendas were completed in a constructive, timely and efficient manner.

Strongly Agree	12	63%
Agree	5	26%
Neutral	2	11%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	19	100%

9. Action items and parties responsible were clearly articulated.

Strongly Agree	11	55%
Agree	7	35%
Neutral	2	10%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	20	100%

10. Committee chair or co-chairs were effective in managing meetings.

Strongly Agree	14	70%
Agree	4	20%
Neutral	2	10%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	20	100%

11. Information Adequacy The committee members had appropriate information to make informed decisions.

Strongly Agree	5	25%
Agree	12	60%
Neutral	2	10%
Disagree	1	5%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	20	100%

12. Discussion and decisions were data driven and supported by sound evidence.

Strongly Agree	10	50%
Agree	7	35%
Neutral	2	10%
Disagree	1	5%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	20	100%

13. Participation All members attended regularly.

Strongly Agree	2	10%
Agree	8	40%
Neutral	3	15%
Disagree	6	30%
Strongly Disagree	1	5%
Total	20	100%

14. All members were encouraged to participate in the discussion and provide input into decisions and recommendations.

Strongly Agree	14	70%
Agree	5	25%
Neutral	1	5%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	20	100%

15. Decisions and recommendations were made by consensus.

Strongly Agree	13	65%
Agree	7	35%
Neutral	0	0%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	20	100%

16. Professional Conduct & Respectful Dialogue Different opinions and values were respected.

Strongly Agree	15	79%
Agree	3	16%
Neutral	1	5%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	19	100%

17. Committee members were always respectful of all members.

Strongly Agree	18	90%
Agree	2	10%
Neutral	0	0%
Disagree	0	0%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	20	100%

18. Overall Participation in the PGS committee was important and valuable to the College.

Strongly Agree	13	65%
Agree	2	10%
Neutral	1	5%
Disagree	3	15%
Strongly Disagree	1	5%
Total	20	100%

19. The committee charge was understood and the members worked toward fulfilling the charge.

Strongly Agree	12	60%
Agree	5	25%
Neutral	2	10%
Disagree	1	5%
Strongly Disagree	0	0%
Total	20	100%

- 1 College Council fulfills a very important role as the "umbrella" governance committee chaired by the College President. It provides a unique opportunity for all constituencies to participate and have a voice in college decisions and recommendations. I'm particularly impressed with the number of Board Policies and Administrative Procedures we reviewed and recommended forward this year. Good job!
- 2 It has been a very positive experience to serve on my PGS committee and I appreciate that it is something that involves (and in some ways, helps unite) people from all over the college. Thank you for this opportunity!
- 3 It appears that recommendations from the Committee were not fully considered by the College Administration which raises doubts about the real effect of shared governance
- 4 Because this is the first year of PRAC and the integrated planning process, PRAC had to make adjustments and refine the Committee's areas of responsibility as the year progressed.
- 5 Why are the Senates not included in the lists?
- 6 Facilities Planning Committee still doesn't have a good understanding of its role and responsibilities.
- 7 The Professional Development Committee is a relatively new committee that is still establishing its membership and foundational data. Once up and running, I expect more viable programming